CRIMINAL COURT SYSTEM FAILURES DURING COVID-19: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY

CYNTHIA ALKON*

- I. INTRODUCTION
- II. THE SURVEY
 - A. Methodology
 - B. Responded Profile
- III. DISPUTE SYSTEM DESIGN
- IV. COVID-19 "CHANGED EVERYTHING": TRANSITION FROM IN-PERSON TO PANDEMIC COURTROOMS
 - A. "All input is valuable and necessary to make these changes . . . successful.": Consultative Process for Change
 - 1. WHO MADE DECISIONS?
 - 2. WAS THERE FLEXIBILITY TO MAKE CHANGES?
 - B. Protection for Professionals
 - 1. "It's a four-alarm fire of arrogance, entitlement and stupidity": Protection for Defense Lawyers
 - 2. "WE ARE ALL AT RISK WHEN WE COME TO COURT": PROTECTION FOR PROSECUTORS
 - 3. "WE DON'T HAVE THE CAPACITY AND EQUIPMENT": PROTECTION FOR COURT PERSONNEL
 - C. Protection for Civilians
 - 1. "The jails are a nightmare": Protection for Defendants

^{*} Professor of Law, Director of the Criminal Law, Justice & Policy Program, Texas A&M University School of Law. Thank you to Kim Campbell, Sarah Cole, Susan Fortney, Elayne Greenberg, Jill Gross, Jonathan Marshall, Lucy Martin, Kelly Browe Olson, Peter Reilly, Aaron Retteen, Jenny Roberts, Andrea Kupfer Schneider, Donna Shestowskey, Nancy Welsh, and to my wonderful co-panelists at the 2021 Southeastern Association of Law Schools Discussion Group on Police to Prisons: Pandemic & Post-Pandemic Reforms in the Criminal Legal System and the Discussion Group on Is Remote Justice Still Justice? Shifting Dispute Resolution Processes Online. Thank you also to my research assistants, Ian Perez-Routledge, Yasmeen Aboelhasan, Tiffany Daniels, Brenna Miller, Jeffry Nelson, Sarah Marie Petrus, Griffin Tolle, and Dominick Chionchio. Finally, thank you to all the respondents who took the time to fill out the survey and all of those who helped to distribute it.

- 2. "I WAS TOLD NO FACE-TO-FACE MEETINGS": PROTECTION FOR VICTIMS AND WITNESSES
- V. "I HAVE NO IDEA WHO IS IN CHARGE OF DECIDING TO IGNORE THE CONSTITUTION." PROTECTING DEFENDANTS' RIGHTS
 - A. "I'm being asked to choose between either attorney-client privilege or my personal health" Communication Concerns
 - 1. Confidential Communication
 - 2. ATTORNEY-CLIENT COUNSELING
 - 3. "ELECTRONIC JUSTICE IS INJUSTICE.": THE RIGHT TO BE PHYSICALLY PRESENT IN COURT
 - B. Incarceration
 - 1. CLIENTS ARE "IN JAIL WAITING FOR TRIALS INDEFINITELY.":
 THE RIGHT TO A SPEEDY TRIAL
 - 2. "The courts defer entirely to the Jail": The right to be free from cruel and inhuman treatment.
 - C. "Human Interaction leads to better cooperation": Plea bargaining and case outcomes
- VI. PLEA BARGAINING
 - A. "Human Interaction leads to better cooperation": Plea bargaining Process
 - B. Plea Bargaining Outcomes
- VII. CONCLUSION

CRIMINAL COURT SYSTEM FAILURES DURING COVID-19

Abstract

How did the criminal legal system respond to the early months of pandemic in 2020? This article reports the results of a unique national survey of judges, defense lawyers, and prosecutors that gives a snapshot of how the criminal legal system responded to the COVID-19 in the first five chaotic months. Criminal courts in the United States rely on in-person proceedings and formal and informal in-person communications to manage caseloads. The survey results detail, in ways not previously fully understood, how crucial these inperson communications are and how ill-prepared the criminal courts and legal professionals were to deal with the quick change to online and remote platforms.

Criminal Courts also tend to have top-down, non-consensual decisionmaking, and have not traditionally been heavy users of dispute system design approaches to change and reform. This means that there were not processes already in place to consult with all the professionals as changes were being made. This may be one reason for the critical system failures reported in the survey on issues such as confidential attorney-client communications, as well as serious concerns surrounding physical safety inside courthouses and jails. The responses to the survey paint a picture of state courts that are chaotic, unpredictable, and facing serious case backlogs, as they have not been doing normal case processing since mid-March of 2020. As with many other parts of our society, the criminal courts were unprepared to deal with the pandemic and are still struggling with how to adapt. One truism of the pandemic has been that we may all be on the same rough seas, but we are not all in the same boat. This survey highlights that reality.