

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

SHANNON PEREZ, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.
SA-11-CA-360-OLG-JES-XR
[Lead case]

JOINT REPORT OF RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE AND DISCOVERY PLAN

Pursuant to the Court's Scheduling Order of October 18, 2013, and Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties conducted a Rule 26(f) conference by telephone on November 4, 2013.

A. Parties

In attendance at the telephonic conference were: David Richards for Shannon Perez, et al. ("Perez Plaintiffs"); Jose Garza for Mexican American Legislative Caucus ("MALC"); Nina Perales and Karolina Walters for Texas Latino Redistricting Task Force, et al. ("TLRTF Plaintiffs"); Gerry Hebert for Margarita Quesada, et al. ("Quesada Plaintiffs"); Luis Vera for League of United Latin American Citizens, et al. ("LULAC Plaintiffs"); Rolando Rios for Congressman Henry Cuellar; Renea Hicks for Eddie Rodriguez, et al. ("Rodriguez Plaintiffs");

Allison Riggs for Texas State Conference of NAACP Branches, et al. (“NAACP Plaintiffs”) and Congresspersons Eddie Bernice Johnson, Sheila Jackson Lee, and Alexander Green (“Congresspersons”); Timothy Mellett, Bryan Sells, and Jaye Sitton for the United States; Chad Dunn for Texas Democratic Party and Gilberto Hinojosa; John T. Morris, *pro se*; and Patrick Sweeten, Angela Colmenero, Adam Aston, Summer Lee, Michael Neill, and Adam Bitter for Defendants State of Texas, Rick Perry, David Dewhurst, Joe Straus, and John Steen (collectively, the “State Defendants”).

B. Discussion

The parties conferred regarding the topics set forth in Rule 26(f) and report as follows:

(1) The parties discussed the nature and basis of their claims and determined that there is currently no possibility of settlement.

(2) The parties will make their initial disclosures on November 22, 2013, pursuant to the Court’s Scheduling Order of October 18, 2013. The State Defendants requested that each plaintiff’s initial disclosures identify, with specificity, the districts that the disclosing party is challenging under the 2011 and 2013 redistricting plans.

(3) The parties agreed that service of written discovery and other non-filed documents would be effective if served on the parties via e-mail.

(4) The State Defendants stated that there were several attorneys listed as counsel of record in this case who needed to be removed from the service list

because they are no longer employed by the Office of the Attorney General. The parties agreed to submit a joint motion to the Court listing any counsel for Plaintiffs or the State Defendants to be removed from the e-mail service list.

(5) The State Defendants noted that the LULAC Plaintiffs, Rodriguez Plaintiffs, Perez Plaintiffs, NAACP Plaintiffs, and Congresspersons had asserted claims in their amended complaints against Texas House of Representatives Speaker Joe Straus and Lieutenant Governor David Dewhurst, both of whom were dismissed from this lawsuit by Order of this Court on September 2, 2011. *See* Order dated September 2, 2011 (Doc. 285). The plaintiffs agreed to dismissal of claims against Speaker Straus and Lieutenant Governor Dewhurst.

(6) The parties discussed the timing and scope of written discovery.

a. Interrogatories: Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1), each party in this litigation “may serve on any other party no more than 25 written interrogatories, including all discrete subparts.” Notwithstanding this limitation, the State Defendants proposed that each party be permitted to propound thirty (30) written interrogatories on any other party as to the 2011 and 2013 redistricting plans collectively, inclusive of any interrogatories that a party previously served on another party in this litigation. The United States is in agreement with this proposal. The NAACP Plaintiffs, Congresspersons, and Quesada Plaintiffs did not agree to

the 30-interrogatory limitation if inclusive of 2011 interrogatories previously made.

- b. Requests for Admission: In this litigation, requests for admission are governed by Western District of Texas Local Civil Rule 36, which provides that each party is limited to serving thirty (30) requests for admission on any other party. Consistent with Local Rule 36, the State Defendants proposed that each party be permitted to serve no more than thirty (30) requests for admission on any other party as to the 2011 and 2013 redistricting plans collectively, inclusive of any requests for admission that a party previously served on another party in this litigation. The United States is in agreement with this approach. The NAACP Plaintiffs, Congresspersons, and Quesada Plaintiffs did not agree to the 30-request limitation if inclusive of 2011 requests previously made.
- c. The parties agreed that any written discovery could begin to be served prior to the November 22 deadline for the parties' initial disclosures.

(7) The parties discussed the scheduling of depositions. The parties agreed to hold depositions from January 15, 2014 through May 14, 2014. The State Defendants also proposed that each party be limited to a total of 15 hours of corporate/agency representative depositions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

30(b)(6). The United States does not agree to the proposed limitation on corporate/agency representative depositions.

(8) The parties discussed assertions of legislative privilege in depositions. As previously asserted in the State Defendants' Motion for Protective Order (Doc. 62), the State Defendants advised the parties during the conference that some legislative witnesses who may be deposed in this action could choose to assert legislative privilege, during the course of their deposition as to the 2013 challenges and as to witnesses who had not previously waived privilege. Previously, the Court entered a protective order on August 1, 2011 (Doc. 102)—with depositions and trial imminent—that provided: “The deponents may invoke the privilege in response to particular questions, but the deponent must then answer the question subject to the privilege.” During the Rule 26(f) conference, the State Defendants proposed a modification of the Court's August 2011 Order to allow for contemporaneous assertions of privilege at depositions with accompanying instructions to refrain from disclosing privileged testimony. The State Defendants asserted this method would allow the Court to address the specific assertion of privilege following the deposition in an appropriate motion filed with the Court, and would prohibit the disclosure of potentially privileged testimony from witnesses entitled by law to assert those privileges. This method would reflect the usual practice of privilege assertion, and a modification of the Court's prior order is warranted as the scheduling concerns and exigencies that existed on August 1, 2011 are no longer present. The plaintiffs did not agree to this proposal. Because the parties could not reach agreement on

this issue at the conference, the State Defendants proposed that the parties submit briefing on the issue pursuant to the following schedule:

- a. State Defendants' motion shall be filed by November 22, 2013.
- b. Plaintiffs' responses to the State Defendants' motion shall be filed by December 6, 2013.
- c. State Defendants' reply shall be filed by December 13, 2013.

The State Defendants' proposed modification would apply only to witnesses who had not previously asserted legislative privilege and as to depositions regarding the 2013 challenges. The United States takes no position on the proposal as it relates to 2013 witnesses but opposes the proposal to the extent it relates to 2011 witnesses. The remaining plaintiffs were not opposed to the State Defendants' proposed briefing schedule, although counsel for the Rodriguez Plaintiffs indicated that he did not believe that briefing was necessary at this time.

(9) The parties discussed the deadlines provided in the Court's Scheduling Order of October 18, 2013 for the filing of dispositive motions. The State Defendants indicated that they would not oppose the parties seeking an amendment to these deadlines to allow for responses to be filed up to 21 days (rather than 14 days) after the filing of any dispositive motions; replies would be due 7 days after the filing of a response, consistent with the Court's Scheduling Order.

(10) The parties discussed production format for any electronic discovery. The State Defendants indicated their preference for producing electronic documents to the parties via an FTP site and/or Secure Share method. The United States expressed concerns about the production of electronic documents using this method.

On November 12, the United States provided a draft ESI Agreement to the parties, except for Mr. Morris, who was inadvertently not included. The State Defendants are providing the opposing parties with modified language to the proposed agreement suggested by the United States. Mr. Morris is still considering the proposed ESI Agreement. The United States has advised that all of the other parties have agreed to the ESI Agreement.

Dated: November 18, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

GREG ABBOTT
Attorney General of Texas

DANIEL T. HODGE
First Assistant Attorney General

DAVID C. MATTAX
Deputy Attorney General for Defense
Litigation

J. REED CLAY, JR.
Special Assistant and Senior Counsel
to the Attorney General

/s/ Patrick K. Sweeten
PATRICK K. SWEETEN
Chief, Special Litigation Division
Texas Bar No. 00798537

P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, TX 78711-2548
(512) 463-0150
(512) 936-0545 (fax)

**ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF
TEXAS, RICK PERRY, JOHN STEEN,
DAVID DEWHURST, AND JOE STRAUS**

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that, on November 14, 2013 and November 18, 2013, I circulated by e-mail a draft Joint Report of Rule 26(f) Conference and Discovery Plan to counsel for all parties, as well as John T. Morris. Counsel for the United States, NAACP Plaintiffs, Congresspersons, and Quesada Plaintiffs commented on the draft report and proposed certain revisions that are reflected in the report being filed herein. Counsel for the Perez Plaintiffs, MALC, TLRTF Plaintiffs, LULAC Plaintiffs, Congressman Cuellar, Rodriguez Plaintiffs, Texas Democratic Party, and Mr. Morris have not commented on the draft report as of time of this filing.

/s/ Patrick K. Sweeten
PATRICK K. SWEETEN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this filing was sent on November 18, 2013, via the Court's electronic notification system and/or email to the following counsel of record:

DAVID RICHARDS
Richards, Rodriguez & Skeith LLP
816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1200
Austin, TX 78701
512-476-0005
davidr@rrsfirm.com

RICHARD E. GRAY, III
Gray & Becker, P.C.
900 West Avenue, Suite 300
Austin, TX 78701
512-482-0061/512-482-0924 (facsimile)
Rick.gray@graybecker.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
PEREZ, DUTTON, TAMEZ, HALL,
ORTIZ, SALINAS, DEBOSE, and
RODRIGUEZ**

JOSE GARZA
Law Office of Jose Garza
7414 Robin Rest Dr.
San Antonio, Texas 78209
210-392-2856
garzpalm@aol.com

MARK W. KIEHNE
mkiehne@lawdcm.com
RICARDO G. CEDILLO
rcedillo@lawdcm.com
Davis, Cedillo & Mendoza
McCombs Plaza
755 Mulberry Ave., Ste. 500
San Antonio, TX 78212
210-822-6666/210-822-1151 (facsimile)

GERALD H. GOLDSTEIN
ggandh@aol.com
DONALD H. FLANARY, III
donflanary@hotmail.com
Goldstein, Goldstein and Hilley
310 S. St. Mary's Street
San Antonio, TX 78205-4605
210-226-1463/210-226-8367 (facsimile)

PAUL M. SMITH, MICHAEL B.
DESANCTIS, JESSICA RING
AMUNSON
Jenner & Block LLP
1099 New York Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20001
202-639-6000

J. GERALD HEBERT
191 Somerville Street, # 405
Alexandria, VA 22304
703-628-4673
hebert@voterlaw.com

JESSE GAINES
P.O. Box 50093
Fort Worth, TX 76105
817-714-9988
gainesjesse@ymail.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
QUESADA, MUNOZ, VEASEY,
HAMILTON, KING and JENKINS**

JOAQUIN G. AVILA
P.O. Box 33687
Seattle, WA 98133
206-724-3731/206-398-4261 (facsimile)
jgavotingrights@gmail.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR MEXICAN
AMERICAN LEGISLATIVE CAUCUS**

NINA PERALES
nperales@maldef.org
MARISA BONO
mbono@maldef.org
Mexican American Legal Defense
and Education Fund
110 Broadway, Suite 300
San Antonio, TX 78205
210-224-5476/210-224-5382 (facsimile)
MARK ANTHONY SANCHEZ
masanchez@gws-law.com
ROBERT W. WILSON
rwwilson@gws-law.com
Gale, Wilson & Sanchez, PLLC
115 East Travis Street, Ste. 1900
San Antonio, TX 78205
210-222-8899/210-222-9526 (facsimile)

**ATTORNEYS FOR TEXAS LATINO
REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE,
CARDENAS, JIMENEZ, MENENDEZ,
TOMACITA AND JOSE OLIVARES,
ALEJANDRO AND REBECCA ORTIZ**

JOHN T. MORRIS
5703 Caldicote St.
Humble, TX 77346
281-852-6388
JOHN T. MORRIS, PRO SE

MAX RENEA HICKS
Law Office of Max Renea Hicks
101 West Sixth Street Suite 504
Austin, TX 78701
512-480-8231/512/480-9105 (facsimile)

LUIS ROBERTO VERA, JR.
Law Offices of Luis Roberto Vera, Jr.
1325 Riverview Towers
San Antonio, Texas 78205-2260
210-225-3300
lrvlaw@sbcglobal.net
GEORGE JOSEPH KORBEL
Texas Rio Grande Legal Aid, Inc.
1111 North Main
San Antonio, TX 78213
210-212-3600
korbellow@hotmail.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR INTERVENOR-
PLAINTIFF LEAGUE OF UNITED
LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS**

ROLANDO L. RIOS
Law Offices of Rolando L. Rios
115 E Travis Street, Suite 1645
San Antonio, TX 78205
210-222-2102
rrios@rolandorioslaw.com

**ATTORNEY FOR INTERVENOR-
PLAINTIFF HENRY CUELLAR**

GARY L. BLEDSOE
Law Office of Gary L. Bledsoe
316 W. 12th Street, Ste. 307
Austin, TX 78701
512-322-9992/512-322-0840 (facsimile)
garybledsoe@sbcglobal.net

**ATTORNEY FOR INTERVENOR-
PLAINTIFFS TEXAS STATE
CONFERENCE OF NAACP
BRANCHES, TEXAS LEGISLATIVE
BLACK CAUCUS, EDDIE BERNICE
JOHNSON, SHEILA JACKSON-
LEE, ALEXANDER GREEN,
HOWARD JEFFERSON, BILL
LAWSON, and JUANITA WALLACE**

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, ALEX SERNA, BEATRICE SALOMA, BETTY F. LOPEZ, CONSTABLE BRUCE ELFANT, DAVID GONZALEZ, EDDIE RODRIGUEZ, MILTON GERARD WASHINGTON, and SANDRA SERNA

STEPHEN E. MCCONNICO

smccconnico@scottdoug.com

SAM JOHNSON

sjohnson@scottdoug.com

S. ABRAHAM KUCZAJ, III

akuczaj@scottdoug.com

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

One American Center

600 Congress Ave., 15th Floor

Austin, TX 78701

512-495-6300/512-474-0731 (facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, ALEX SERNA, BALAKUMAR PANDIAN, BEATRICE SALOMA, BETTY F. LOPEZ, CONSTABLE BRUCE ELFANT, DAVID GONZALEZ, EDDIE RODRIGUEZ, ELIZA ALVARADO, JOSEY MARTINEZ, JUANITA VALDEZ-COX, LIONOR SOROLA-POHLMAN, MILTON GERARD WASHINGTON, NINA JO BAKER, and SANDRA SERNA

KAREN M. KENNARD

2803 Clearview Drive

Austin, TX 78703

(512) 974-2177/512-974-2894 (facsimile)

karen.kennard@ci.austin.tx.us

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CITY OF AUSTIN

VICTOR L. GOODE

Asst. Gen. Counsel, NAACP

4805 Mt. Hope Drive

Baltimore, MD 21215-5120

410-580-5120/410-358-9359 (facsimile)

vgoode@naacpnet.org

ATTORNEY FOR TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP BRANCHES

ROBERT NOTZON

Law Office of Robert S. Notzon

1507 Nueces Street

Austin, TX 78701

512-474-7563/512-474-9489 (facsimile)

robert@notzonlaw.com

ALLISON JEAN RIGGS

ANITA SUE EARLS

Southern Coalition for Social Justice

1415 West Highway 54, Ste. 101

Durham, NC 27707

919-323-3380/919-323-3942 (facsimile)

anita@southerncoalition.org

ATTORNEYS FOR TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP BRANCHES, EARLS, LAWSON, WALLACE, and JEFFERSON

DONNA GARCIA DAVIDSON

PO Box 12131

Austin, TX 78711

512-775-7625/877-200-6001 (facsimile)

donna@dgdllawfirm.com

FRANK M. REILLY

Potts & Reilly, L.L.P.

P.O. Box 4037

Horseshoe Bay, TX 78657

512-469-7474/512-469-7480 (facsimile)

reilly@pottsreilly.com

ATTY FOR DEFENDANT STEVE MUNISTERI

DAVID ESCAMILLA
Travis County Asst. Attorney
P.O. Box 1748
Austin, TX 78767
(512) 854-9416
david.escamilla@co.travis.tx.us

**ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF
TRAVIS COUNTY**

CHAD W. DUNN
chad@brazilanddunn.com
K. SCOTT BRAZIL
scott@brazilanddunn.com
Brazil & Dunn
4201 FM 1960 West, Suite 530
Houston, TX 77068
281-580-6310/281-580-6362 (facsimile)

**ATTORNEYS FOR INTERVENOR-
DEFS TEXAS DEMOCRATIC PARTY
and BOYD RICHIE**

RONALD C. MACHEN, JR.,
United States Attorney
District of Columbia
JOCELYN SAMUELS
T. CHRISTIAN HERREN, JR.
TIMOTHY F. MELLETT
BRYAN SELLS
JAYE ALLISON SITTON
Jaye.sitton@usdoj.gov
DANIEL J. FREEMAN
MICHELLE A. MCLEOD
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division, Voting Rights
Room 7254 NWB
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
Daniel.freeman@usdoj.gov
(202) 305-4355; (202) 305-4143

**ATTORNEYS FOR THE
UNITED STATES**

/s/ Patrick K. Sweeten
PATRICK K. SWEETEN