

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

ONE WISCONSIN INSTITUTE, INC.,  
CITIZEN ACTION OF WISCONSIN EDUCATION  
FUND, INC., RENEE M. GAGNER,  
ANITA JOHNSON, CODY R. NELSON,  
JENNIFER S. TASSE, SCOTT T. TRINDL, and  
MICHAEL R. WILDER,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ORDER

JUDGE GERALD C. NICHOL,  
JUDGE ELSA LAMELAS,  
JUDGE THOMAS BARLAND,  
JUDGE HAROLD V. FROEHLICH,  
JUDGE TIMOTHY VOCKE,  
JUDGE JOHN FRANKE,  
KEVIN J. KENNEDY, and MICHAEL HAAS,  
*all in their official capacities,*

15-cv-324-jdp

Defendants.

---

At a telephonic status conference on April 12, 2016, the court and the parties discussed two pending motions and the schedule for the remainder of this case. For reasons discussed during the conference, the court will grant plaintiffs' motion for leave to take additional depositions. Dkt. 143. The parties are expected to cooperate with regard to scheduling and conducting these depositions and to be sensitive to defendants' time constraints. As also discussed during the conference, the court will deny defendants' motion for a more definite statement. Dkt. 145. Defendants have valid questions about the nature and scope of relief that plaintiffs are seeking. But for pleading purposes, plaintiffs' submissions are adequate. The court will expect plaintiffs to identify the specific relief that they seek after trial.

The parties also indicated that they are willing to try all of plaintiffs' claims in this case in the upcoming trial, including the "reinstated" claims pertaining to Wisconsin's free voter ID program. The court agrees that conducting one trial on all claims is the most efficient and expeditious way of resolving this case. The parties have stipulated to extend the deadline for serving each other with pre-trial disclosures under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). But apart from this change, the schedule remains the same.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiffs' motion for leave to take additional depositions, Dkt. 143, is GRANTED.
2. Defendants' motion for a more definite statement, Dkt. 145, is DENIED.
3. The trial in this case will include all claims in plaintiffs' second amended complaint. Dkt. 141.

Entered April 12, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

---

JAMES D. PETERSON  
District Judge