

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

**JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE**

Ohio Organizing Collaborative, *et al.*,

vs.

Case No. 2:15-cv-1802

Jon Husted, *et al.*,

**Judge Michael H. Watson**

**Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

**Decision by Court.** This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

**Decision by Court.** This action was decided by the Court without a trial or hearing.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that pursuant to the May 24, 2016 Opinion and Order:

Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment on their equal protection *Anderson/Burdick* and Voting Rights Act claims based on S.B. 238 (Counts I & IV); Count VI is dismissed with prejudice; Count VII is dismissed as moot; judgment is entered in favor of Defendants on the remainder of Plaintiffs' claims according to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, and those claims are dismissed with prejudice.

The Court:

**A. DECLARES** that S.B. 238's amendments to Ohio Revised Code § 3509.01 reducing the early in-person voting period from thirty-five days before an election to the period beginning the day following the close of voter registration are unconstitutional and in violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 and are accordingly unenforceable.

**B. PERMANENTLY ENJOINS** Defendants from enforcing and implementing S.B. 238's amendments to Ohio Revised Code § 3509.01 reducing the early in-person voting period from thirty-five days before an election to the period beginning the day following the close of voter registration.

Date: May 24, 2016

Richard W. Nagel, Clerk

s/ Jennifer Kacsor

By Jennifer Kacsor/Courtroom Deputy