

4-23-92

The Chief Justice -

Intend vs. arguing
 Croson a watershed
 decision only to strict scrutiny
 and apply here
 Ca B did n talk it about to do
 5 scrutiny applies - no D.C. apply
 VRA can't be relied on in a case like this
 Little reliance by me on t shape

White, J. +

Intend, all right
 VRA did n chg
 no one ~~was~~ trying to do proper race here

Blackmun, J. +

Stevens, J. +

U.S. Congress is dead right
 Croson did n chg + rules.
 Shapes do show intent, all right
 Politics often shaped by ~~group~~ ethnic
 groups.
 N. numerous, if n to a terrible experience
 No, ~~coercive~~ prob
 No, ~~rely~~ on VRA

O'Connor, J. RR

Troublesome + basic
 Some heightened scrutiny is right
 It act is reviewed here

Scalia, J. — on 2) VR

I can't remember

But simply —

Race is diff — civil war — cities —

Keight scrutiny is approp

This dealt on its face has no national
bases — the old approach & this
is unfair to —

For this do deserve HS

Issue is explicit, & allowance

As to Remand

Kennedy, J. —

Shouldn't say we & race are so invalidated
There is race for its own sake

That's all N. v. G.

A corollary point

U.S. has compactness

Then it has B & G. v. G.

VR does not help much

Souter, J. VR?

a. Under VR

1) Distinctly is diff — gasp, placed —
but race has to be taken into @

2) Racial S.M. for person is a permissible

3) No implied & + court & VR Act

Case on merits to determine.

can read open B/G to race in @,

compactness — @

This was, what +

But does it raise a W-delineation cl?

pop's compl is + to read the way

level & scrutiny
race has to be dealt to so reflect

do not deal with case on levels of S

deal with harass — define + intent

6-3 to —

Thomas, J. —

requires so HS

do not have to take race into acct
disorder in HS here

No no sign we are people in the

Polio group, in workshops & seminars

In South, No in groups — spread across the (!)

HS voted Reg to B. Goldwater