
The lead article featured in this issue, “Finding an Accommodation for Crimea,” was provided by Mortiz College of Law’s Professor John B. Quigley, President's Club Professor Emeritus of Law. Even though self-determination of ethnic minorities has become a platform for certain groups’ recent secessionist movements, there is no clear available mechanism in the international system for resolving secessionist disputes. Professor Quigley addresses this issue by focusing on the unrest in Crimea and discussing the merits of facilitating a long-term solution in the region through negotiation.

Our article summary focuses on a forthcoming article by Thomas Schultz, a Reader in Commercial law at the Dickson Poon School of Law at King’s College London. The article, entitled, posits that mistaken conceptions of justice associated strictly with national law lead to less effective legal regimes governing certain transactions. Schultz demonstrates this theory through international consumer protection, which requires adherence to provisions of national law rather than transnational non-state law. The latter, argues Shultz, would equally achieve the ideals sought after by laws that govern international commercial transactions—namely predictability.

Lastly, our case summary features *CEATS, Inc. v. Continental Airlines, Inc.*, 755 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2014). The case addresses mediators’ neutrality requirements: the issue is whether a mediator’s failure to disclose a potential conflict affecting his neutrality warrants a relief from judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b).

Please take a look at our Headline News for ADR happenings around Moritz, follow us on Twitter @MayhewHite, and look for the return of our Student Spotlight section in the next issue.

As always, please feel free to email me with any comments or suggestions at MayhewHiteReport@gmail.com or marsco.3@osu.edu.