### Exhibit 21. SEIU Research Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attorney</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/21/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>Research procedural due process and equal protection clause arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/21/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: due process and equal protection issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/21/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: due process and equal protection issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/21/2012</td>
<td>Weissglass</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Research re: Florida provisional ballot case raising challenge to precinct requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/22/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research equal protection and due process issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/22/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Exchange e-mails re: constitutional and evidentiary issues; review and analyze Florida briefs in challenge to precinct system; telephone conference and e-mails re: evidence gathering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/22/2012</td>
<td>Weissglass</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>E-mail re: research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research equal protection and due process issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>Research procedural due process and equal protection clause arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: Ohio fact gathering, legal research, and briefing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Weissglass</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: legal theories and evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>Research re: caselaw on bona fide residency requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>Research application of Crawford balancing test standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Strategy conferences with law clerks re: research assignments; exchange e-mails re: same and re: evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Develop case list re: constitutional issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Strategy conference with attorney re: research on 'residential requirement' line of cases and potential heightened standard for federal elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>Research substantive due process and equal protection clause standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research on potential heightened standard of scrutiny for federal elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>Research regarding Bush v. Gore precedent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Weissglass</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>Review Florida case for useful evidence and arguments and e-mail and strategy conferences re: same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Draft summary of due process/equal protection research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research application of Bush v. Gore and Crawford standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: equal protection and due process claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>Strategy conference regarding constitutional claims, Crawford and Bush v. Gore standards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attorney</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Research re: same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: equal protection and due process arguments and evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: remaining research questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Review Hunter evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Draft memo re: same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Review sample and voting declarations from Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Strategy conference with attorney regarding Crawford and Bush v. Gore standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: legal research on standing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research relevant applications of Bush v. Gore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>Research re: standing issues; draft memo re: same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Research past constitutional challenges on the basis of poll worker error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Review pleadings and documents from Hunter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: review of Hunter transcripts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: standing issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/25/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: evidence of constitutional violations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/26/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>Research case law re: substantive due process; review and analyze Ohio elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>materials; exchange e-mails re: legal and fact issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/26/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: state cases on pollworker error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/27/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research federal cases re: poll worker error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/27/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Research equal protection argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/29/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>Draft memo re: same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/29/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: standing issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/29/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Research re: state cases on pollworker error for due process language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/30/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Review standing cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/31/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>Research re: constitutional and statutory arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/31/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>Legal research re: standing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/31/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: constitutional research; discuss potential participation of Civil Rights organization; e-mail re: same; exchange e-mails re: new case, potential plaintiffs, potential evidence, and Rule 60 standards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attorney</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/01/12</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>Legal research re: constitutional arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/12</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: technical error claim under the Voting Rights Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/12</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Conference with attorney re: research project on voter dilution and technical error claim under the Voting Rights Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/12</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Legal research re: constitutional claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/12</td>
<td>Leonard</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>Research constitutional arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/12</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>Research and draft e-mail memorandum re: facial challenge standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/12</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>Legal research re: constitutional claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Leonard</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: evidence; supervise paralegal review of evidence; research constitutional arguments; strategy conferences re: constitutional arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>research re: possible vote dilution claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: technical error claim under the Voting Rights Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: equal protection challenges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Review documents and legal research re: standing and evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research provisional ballots counting policy for wrong precinct in the other states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: constitutional arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Leonard</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: constitutional arguments and evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: constitutional arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Berzon</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: experts and equal protection argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Legal research re: equal protection challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Review research re: standing and Lyons issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>legal research re: standing issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Strategy conference re: remaining standing research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/12</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Strategy conference with law clerk re: legal research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/12</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research provisional ballots counting policy for wrong precinct in the other states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/12</td>
<td>Leonard</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>Strategy conferences; supervise paralegals, associate and summer associate research; review evidence and outline plan for briefs; research modification and constitutional issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attorney</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/05/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>Legal research re: equal protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>Research re: potential third-party vote dilution claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>Draft memo re: private right of action through 'technical error' provision of the Voting Rights Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: private right of action under the 'technical error' provision of the Voting Rights Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/2012</td>
<td>Berzon</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: constitutional arguments and evidentiary record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: constitutional arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Leonard</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>Research, outline and strategy conferences re: modification and constitutional issues; review evidence and draft public records act follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research provisional ballots counting policy for wrong precinct in the other states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>Legal research re: equal protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>Research re: possible vote dilution issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: potential HAVA claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: residual standing questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: potential HAVA claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: equal protection challenges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Legal research re: constitutional claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/07/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>Legal research re: equal protection claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/07/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Review memo re: constitutional claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/08/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: equal protection claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/09/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Telephone conference with Caroline Gentry re: equal protection claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/10/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Exchange e-mails re: equal protection challenge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attorney</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/11/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: potential HAVA claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>Telephone conference with expert and Danielle Leonard; strategy conference re: equal protection challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Review legal research on standing, preliminary injunction standard, and harm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: constitutional arguments and facts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: potential HAVA claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>Draft memo re: potential HAVA claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: harm standard for preliminary injunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: harm standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Review legal research re: preliminary injunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/13/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Draft memo re: potential HAVA claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/13/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: equal protection challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/14/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: potential HAVA claim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/15/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research re: vote dilution issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Draft memo re: vote dilution issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/19/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Draft memo re: vote dilution issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/21/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research provisional ballots counting policy for wrong precinct in the other states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>Legal research re: defendant class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/2012</td>
<td>Murray</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Legal research re: class certification motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/25/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: legal research on defendant classes, deliberate indifference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/26/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research injunction and defense classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/26/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Memo on 1983 negligence standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/27/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research on injunction and defense classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/28/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research injunction and defense classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/29/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research injunction and defense classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/29/2012</td>
<td>Murray</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Legal research and exchange strategy emails re: class certification motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/02/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research injunction and defense classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/02/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Draft memo on 1983 negligence standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/02/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Legal research re: requirement of notice to voters of polling location change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attorney</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/02/2012</td>
<td>Murray</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Legal research re: recent defendant class certification case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/03/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>Legal research re: 1983 Deliberate Indifference standard; draft memo re: same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/03/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research injunction and defense classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/05/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Legal research on deliberate indifference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/06/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Legal research on 1983 injunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/06/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Draft e-mail memorandum re: defendant classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/07/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Legal research re: Sixth Circuit preliminary injunction evidentiary standard issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/07/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: reply briefs and research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/07/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Legal research re: standard of review and draft argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/07/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Research and draft email memo re: constitutional arguments and evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/08/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Legal research and draft argument re: presumptions and standard of review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/08/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Legal research re: state HAVA reforms and provisional ballot rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/09/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Legal research re: constitutional standard and evidence required by the state to support their interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/10/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Draft memo re: evidentiary standard for demonstrating state's interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/16/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>Legal research re: Eleventh Amendment immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/18/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Legal research on motion to amend complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/18/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Legal research re: dismissal of defendants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/27/2012</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Legal research re: conflict issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/06/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Legal research re: motion to dismiss notice of appeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Research re: permissive intervention; emails re: research on permissive intervention appeals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Strategy conference re: legal research on appeal from motion to intervene and opposition to motion; exchange emails re: same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2012</td>
<td>Leonard</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Strategy conferences re: intervention research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/11/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>Research on standards for intervention on appeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/13/2012</td>
<td>Reddy</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>Research intervention re: motion to intervene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/15/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Research Crawford decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/17/2012</td>
<td>Leonard</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>Research for oral argument panel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Exhibit 21. SEIU Research Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attorney</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/17/2012</td>
<td>Chisholm</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>Legal research re: 6th Circuit panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/26/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>Legal research re: preparation for oral argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/27/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Legal research in preparation for oral argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/28/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>Research and draft bullet points for substantive due process argument; exchange e-mails re: moot court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/29/2012</td>
<td>Leyton</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>Research and draft substantive due process bullet points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/30/2012</td>
<td>Cincotta</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Legal research re: state provisional ballot rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/10/2013</td>
<td>Trice</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Research local rules and standing orders re: summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/10/2013</td>
<td>Trice</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Research Sixth Circuit case law on summary judgment and permanent injunction standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/10/2013</td>
<td>Trice</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Strategy conference with summer associate re: research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/2013</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>Research and draft memo re: evidence in support of summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/2013</td>
<td>Trice</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Legal research re: motion re: evidence in support of summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/2013</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research and draft memo re: evidence in support of summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/13/2013</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>Research and draft memo re: evidence in support of summary judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/13/2013</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Strategy conference re: permanent injunction evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/14/2013</td>
<td>Law Clerk</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>Law Clerk: Research and draft memo re: evidence in support of summary judgment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Hours: **405.35**

---
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