

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	CR NO. 2:10cr186-MHT
)	
LARRY P. MEANS,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**MOTION TO DISMISS OF DEFENDANT, LARRY P. MEANS
AS TO COUNTS ONE AND SIX OF THE INDICTMENT**

COMES NOW the Defendant, Larry P. Means, and moves the Court to dismiss Counts 1 and 6 of the Indictment, separately and severally, on the following grounds, separately and severally:

1. On August 11, 2011, following an approximately two (2) month trial, the jury returned a partial verdict finding Defendant Means not guilty on 14 of 16 Counts including Count 7 which charged a violation of Federal Program Bribery under 18 U.S.C. §§ 666(a)(1)(B) and (2), Counts 19 and 20 which charged violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1951, and Counts 23 through 33 which charged mail and wire honest services fraud. The jury was unable to reach a verdict on Count 1 which charged conspiracy under 18 U.S.C. § 371 and Count 6 which charged Federal Program Bribery under 18 U.S.C. §§ 666 (a)(1)(B) and (2).

2. The Government has indicated that it intends to proceed with a re-prosecution and re-trial as to Defendant Means on Counts 1 and 6. Any further prosecution of the Defendant on these Counts is barred by the issue preclusion component of the double

jeopardy provisions of the United States Constitution, Amendment V; *Yeager v. United States*, ___ U.S. ___, 129 S.Ct. 2360, 174 L.Ed.2d 78 (2009); *Ashe v. Swenson*, 397 U.S. 436 (1970). Under *Yeager*, the double jeopardy clause precludes the Government from re-litigating any issue that was necessarily decided by the jury's acquittal in a prior trial.

3. In Counts 23 through 33 the Government described the alleged scheme in terms of the conspiracy alleged in Count 1 by incorporating paragraphs 28 through 37 (the entire conspiracy count less the overt acts) of the Indictment. The alleged scheme and the Defendant's alleged participation in it was a critical issue of ultimate fact in the honest services charges against Defendant. The not guilty verdicts in this case as to Counts 23 through 33 necessarily decided that issue in Defendant Means' favor which protects him from re-prosecution under the conspiracy charge which was incorporated by reference in the honest services charges. In fact, at the hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counts 23 through 33 on the ground that no scheme and no "manner and means" were alleged, the Government specifically responded that the scheme and the "manner and means" applicable to Counts 23 through 33 were described in Count 1, paragraphs 28 through 37, and were incorporated by reference in Counts 23 through 33. A finding of not guilty as to Counts 23 through 33 therefore precludes the Government from re-prosecuting the Defendant under Count 1 under the double jeopardy clause of the Fifth Amendment, *United States v. Yeager*, *supra*.

4. The jury found Defendant Means not guilty of Count 20 of the Indictment

which was based solely on the same alleged “course of conduct” alleged in Count 6 of the Indictment, i.e., the alleged conversation with Jennifer Pouncy in which she testified that Larry Means “requested” a \$100,000.00 campaign contribution. An essential element of both charges was an explicit *quid pro quo* as provided in the Court’s instructions to the jury. Thus, the jury necessarily decided in finding Defendant Means not guilty of Count 20 that the prosecution had failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt an explicit *quid pro quo* based upon the same facts upon which the Government based its charge in Count 6. Any further prosecution of Defendant Means under Count 6 is precluded by the Defendant’s acquittal on Count 20 under the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution; *Yeager v. United States, supra*.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant respectfully moves the Court to dismiss with prejudice Counts 1 and 6 of the Indictment against Defendant, Larry P. Means.

DATED this the 25th day of August, 2011.

/s/William N. Clark
William N. Clark (CLA013)
Stephen W. Shaw (SHA006)
Attorneys for Defendant Larry P. Means

OF COUNSEL:

REDDEN, MILLS & CLARK, LLP

940 Financial Center
505 20th Street North
Birmingham, Alabama 35203
(205) 322-0457
WNC@rmclaw.com
SWS@rmclaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served upon the following and **all counsel** of record electronically on this the 25th of August, 2011.

Justin V. Shur
US Department of Justice
Public Integrity Section
1400 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Louis Franklin
Steve Feaga
US Attorney's Office
131 Clayton Street
Montgomery, AL 36104

/s/William N. Clark

OF COUNSEL