UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
P1aintiff,)	No. 2:10 -CR-186-MHT
v.)	
)	
RONALD E. GILLEY, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MOTION FOR ORDER ALLOWING SUBMISSION OF "THEORY OF DEFENSE" PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS AT OR NEAR END OF TRIAL

COMES NOW the Defendant, RONALD E. GILLEY ("Defendant" or "Mr. Gilley"), by and through the undersigned counsel, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court for an Order that would either clarify or amend the time frame for submission of proposed jury instructions, such that "theory of defense" instructions could be submitted at or near the end of trial.

Currently, according to the applicable orders, proposed jury instructions are due on May 27, 2011. *See* Ct. Doc. 655 at 1 (ordering that deadlines pegged to trial date are to be calculated from the June 6 revised trial date); Ct. Doc. 650, at 5-6 (same); and Ct. Doc. 316 at 2 ("Proposed jury instructions are due seven days before the first day of jury selection). Mr. Gilley does not seek revision of the referenced deadline generally. Nor is Mr. Gilley filing this Motion as a way to avoid submitting proposed jury instructions prior trial, as he plans to file very substantial proposed jury instructions, by the pretrial deadline.

Instead, the instant Motion is directed at one particular subset of jury instruction, the "theory of defense" instruction, where submission at or near the end of trial is more appropriate.

Such a schedule will allow Mr. Gilley the opportunity to propose specific theory-of defense charges that are meritorious in light of the evidence as it comes in at trial.

"Theory of defense" instructions are addressed in such cases as *United States v. Kottwitz*, 614 F.3d 1241, *revised on other grounds on rehearing*, 627 F.3d 1383 (11th Cir. 2010). As emphasized in *Kottwitz*, a good "theory of defense" instruction should have two qualities: (1) it should have some foundation in the actual evidence as it has come in during trial, and (2) it should be specifically and precisely tailored to the evidence at hand rather than being generalized.

A trial court is not free to determine the existence of the defendant's theory of defense as a matter of law; it is established by the defendant's presentation of an evidentiary and legal foundation and, once established, the defendant is entitled to jury instructions on that defense theory. United States v Ruiz, 59 F.3d 1151, 1154 (lit Cir. 1995); United States v. Williams, 728 F.2d 1402, 1404 (1 l Cir. 1984). The requested jury instruction should "precisely and specifically, rather than merely generally or abstractly, point [Ito the theory of defense." Morris, 20 F.3d at 1117 (quotation marks and citations omitted). The law is clear that the defendant's burden is light as "any foundation in the evidence" is sufficient even if that evidence is of doubtful credibility, frivolous, imprudent, inconsistent, insufficient, unbelievable, or weak. United States v. Opdahl, 930 F.2d 1530, 1535 (1 1th Cir. 1991) (citations omitted); United States v. Middleton, 690 F.2d 820, 826 (lit Cir. 1982); Strauss v. United States, 376 F.2d 416, 419 (StCir. 1967), "[IIt is reversible error to refuse to charge on a defense theory for which there is an evidentiary foundation and which, if believed by the jury, would be legally sufficient to render the accused innocent." United States v. Edwards, 968 F.2d 1148, 1153 (1 1th Cir.1992) (quotation marks and citation omitted).

Kottwitz, 614 F.3d at 1271. As further emphasized in *Kottwitz*, one of the crucial questions in the Court's decision whether to give a proposed theory-of-defense instruction is whether there is any evidence to support it. The Court does not weigh or determine the credibility of that evidence, but looks to see whether any such evidence (even if slim) exists. *Id.* at 1272-74.

Allowing the submission of theory-of-defense instructions at or near the end of trial will

enhance the fairness and efficiency of the process, for the parties and for the Court. Mr. Gilley

will be able to ensure that he is proposing instructions that actually do have the required

connection to evidence that has been received at trial. He also will be able to ensure that he is

proposing charges that are precisely and specifically tailored to the case as it has been presented,

rather than being too general or abstract. See Kottwitz, supra (emphasizing that theory-of-defense

instructions should "precisely and specifically, rather than merely generally or abstractly, point

to the theory of defense") (brackets and ellipses omitted). Similarly, the Court would benefit

from the presentation of proposed instructions that are specific and factually-grounded in this

respect.

Furthermore, there is no compelling reason to require submission of theory-of-defense

instructions on an earlier schedule. The Court will not be able to effectively assess whether such

proposed instructions should be given, or how they should be modified, until the Court has heard

the evidence.

For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Gilley respectfully requests an order exempting theory-of-

defense instructions from the general pretrial deadline for submission of proposed jury

instructions.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ G. Douglas Jones

G. Douglas Jones

(ASB-3880-s82g)

One of the attorneys for Ronald E. Gilley

3

OF COUNSEL:

Thomas J. Butler (ASB-7790-T75T) Anil A. Mujumdar (ASB-2004-165m) Haskell Slaughter Young & Rediker, LLC 1400 Park Place Tower 2001 Park Place Birmingham, AL 35203 Phone: (205) 251-1000

gdj@hsy.com

Sandra Payne Hagood (ASB-0360-S73H) 7660 Fay Avenue Suite H-526 LaJolla, CA 92307

Phone: 858-245-5741

 $\underline{sandra@hagoodappellate.com}$

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have on this the 6^{th} day of April, 2011, filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court via CM/ECF and an electronic copy of the same has been sent to the following:

Louis V. Franklin, Sr. Assistant U. S. Attorney 131 Clayton Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 Louis.franklin@usdoj.gov

Stephen P. Feaga U.S. Attorney's Office P.O. Box 197 Montgomery, AL 36101-0197 Steve.feaga@usdoj.gov

Justin Shur
U.S. Department of Justice
Public Integrity Section
1400 New York Avenue-NW 12th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
Peter.Ainsworth@usdoj.gov

Eric Olshan
U.S. Department of Justice
Public Integrity Section
1400 New York Avenue-NW 12th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
Eric.olshan@usdoj.gov

Barak Cohen
U.S. Department of Justice
Public Integrity Section
1400 New York Avenue-NW 12th Floor
Washington, DC 20005
Barak.cohen@usdoj.gov

Brenda Morris U.S. Department of Justice Public Integrity Section 1400 New York Avenue-NW 12th Floor Washington, DC 20005 Brenda.Morris@usdoj.gov Emily Rae Woods U.S. Department of Justice Public Integrity Section 1400 New York Avenue-NW 12th Floor Washington, DC 20005 Rae.woods@usdoj.gov

Joe Espy, III MELTON, ESPY & WILLIAMS, PC P.O. Box Drawer 5130 Montgomery, AL 36103 jespy@mewlegal.com

William M. Espy MELTON, ESPY & WILLIAMS, PC P.O. Box Drawer 5130 Montgomery, AL 36103 wespy@mewlegal.com

Benjamin J. Espy MELTON, ESPY & WILLIAMS, PC P.O. Box Drawer 5130 Montgomery, AL 36103 bespy@mewlegal.com

Fred D. Gray
Waiter E. McGowan
GRAY, LANGFORD, SAPP
McGOWAN, GRAY, GRAY
& NATHANSON, P.C.
P.O. Box 830239
Tuskegee, AL 36083-0239
fgray@glsmgn.com
wem@glsmgn.com

Robert D. Segall COPELAND, FRANCO, SCREWS & GILL, P.A. P.O. Box 347 Montgomery, Alabama 3610 1-0347 segall@copelandfranco.com

David Martin
COPELAND, FRANCO, SCREWS &
GILL, P.A.
P.O. Box 347
Montgomery, Alabama 3610 1-0347
martin@copelandfranco.com

Shannon Holliday COPELAND, FRANCO, SCREWS & GILL, P.A. P.O. Box 347 Montgomery, Alabama 3610 1-0347 holliday@copelandfranco.com

Sam Heldman THE GARDNER FIRM, P.C. 2805 31st Street NW Washington, DC 20008 sam@heldman.net

Stewart D. McKnight
Baxley, Dillard, Dauphin, McKnight
& Barclift
2008 Third Avenue South
Birmingham, AL 35233
dmcknight@bddmc.com

Joel E. Dillard Baxley, Dillard, Dauphin, McKnight & Barclift 2008 Third Avenue South Birmingham, AL 35233 jdillard@bddmc.com William J. Baxley Baxley, Dillard, Dauphin, McKnight & Barclift 2008 Third Avenue South Birmingham, AL 3523 bbaxley@bddmc.com

Brett M. Bloomston Attorney at Law 1330 21st Way South, Ste 120 Birmingham, AL 35205 brettbloomston@hotmail.com

William N. Clark
Stephen W. Shaw
Redden Mills & Clark
505 North 20th Street, Suite 940
Birmingham, AL 35203
wnc@rmclaw.com
sws@rmclaw.com

Ron W. Wise Attorney at Law 200 Interstate Park Drive, Suite 105 Montgomery, AL 36109 ronwise@aol.com

H. Lewis Gillis
Thomas Means Gillis & Seay
P.O. Drawer 5058
Montgomery, AL 36103
hlgillis@tmgslaw.com

Latasha M. Nickle Thomas Means Gillis & Seay P.O. Drawer 5058 Montgomery, AL 36103 lameadows@tmgslaw.com

Tyrone C. Means Thomas Means Gillis & Seay P.O. Drawer 5058 Montgomery, AL 36103 tcmeans@tmgslaw.com J. W. Parkman, III Parkman, Adams & White 505 20th Street North, Suite 825 Birmingham, AL 35203 parkman@parkmanlawfirm.com

Richard M. Adams
Parkman, Adams & White
505 20th Street North, Suite 825
Birmingham, AL 35203
adams@parkmanlawfirm.com

William C. White, II Parkman, Adams & White 505 20th Street North, Suite 825 Birmingham, AL 35203 wwhite@parkmanlawfirm.com

Susan G. James
Denise A. Simmons
Susan G. James & Associates
600 S. McDonough Street
Montgomery, AL 36104
sgjamesandassoc@aol.com
dsimlaw@aol.com

Thomas M. Goggans Attorney at Law 2030 East Second Street Montgomery, AL 36106 tgoggans@tgoggans.com

Samuel H. Franklin
Jackson R. Sharman, III
LIGHTFOOT, FRANKLIN
& WHITE, L.L.C.
The Clark Building
400 North 20th Street
Birmingham, AL 35203
sfranklin@lightfootlaw.com
jsharman@lightfootlaw.com

Joseph J. Basgier, III Bloomston & Basgier 1330 21st Way South, Suite 120 Birmingham, AL 35235 joebasgier@gmail.com

John M. Englehart Englehart Law Office 9457 Alysbury Place Montgomery, AL 36117-6005 jmenglehart@gmail.com

Joshua L. McKeown
The Cochran Firm Criminal DefenseBirmingham LLC
505 20th Street North
Suite 825
Birmingham, AL 35203
jmckeown@parkmanlawfirm.com

Jeffery Clyde Duffey Law Office of Jeffery C. Duffey 600 South McDonough Street Montgomery, AL 36104 jcduffey@aol.com

/s/ G. Douglas Jones OF COUNSEL