DIRECTIVE 2008-79
September 5, 2008

TO:   ALL COUNTY BOARDS OF ELECTIONS
       MEMBERS, DIRECTORS, AND DEPUTY DIRECTORS

RE:   Required Procedures in Administering Voter Challenge Statutes, R.C. 3503.24 and 3505.19

The intent of this directive is to prescribe procedures that will limit boards of elections' potential exposure to significant awards of damages and attorneys' fees in suits brought by Ohio voters whose voter registrations have been canceled based on application of Ohio's voter challenge statutes in a manner that conflicts with federal statutory and constitutional law. The Secretary of State issues this directive to harmonize the provisions of R.C. 3503.24 and 3505.19 with the National Voter Registration Act ("NVRA") and the United States Constitution to ensure that boards of elections do not unconstitutionally cancel a voter's registration without the due process guarantees in the United States Constitution and federal law.

The office of the Secretary of State has concluded, based on comprehensive legal research and analysis of Ohio's voter challenge statutes and controlling federal law, that R.C. 3503.24 and 3505.19, if applied in conflict with requirements of federal law, will place boards of elections in a legally vulnerable position. The Ohio challenge statutes authorize, under certain circumstances, the cancellation of an Ohioan's voter registration without first providing the voter due process protections of adequate notice and opportunity to demonstrate that the challenge to his or her status as a qualified registered elector should be denied, and that his or her registration should not be canceled.

The procedures established in this directive include the requirement that, where a challenge is submitted pursuant to R.C. 3503.24 and 3505.19 asserting that a registered elector is not qualified to vote, a hearing must be held before the next election as a requisite for the board canceling the challenged voter's registration, which may result in disenfranchisement of that voter for that election.

This directive will:

- Provide procedures for boards of elections to follow in administering voter challenges prior to Election Day to ensure that boards do not unconstitutionally deprive a voter of his or her constitutional rights and to avoid costly litigation.
- Explain the current status of Ohio law regarding voter challenges before Election Day.
- Explain how certain provisions in Ohio law may conflict with federal law and the United States Constitution regarding canceling a voter's registration without due process based on a voter challenge before Election Day.
REQUIRED PROCEDURES TO AVOID AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATION OF A VOTER’S DUE PROCESS RIGHTS

The Secretary of State issues this directive to harmonize the provisions of R.C. 3503.24 and 3505.19 with the NVRA and the United States Constitution to ensure that boards of elections do not unconstitutionally cancel a voter’s registration without the due process guarantees required by the United States Constitution and federal law. Under this directive, a board of elections that has received a challenge under R.C. 3503.24 or 3505.19 may not cancel a voter’s registration based solely on the basis of board records unless it has first provided the voter notice and opportunity to appear at a public hearing. The board’s records may be considered at the hearing, which is an administrative proceeding, despite the hearsay nature of the board’s records. However, absent having first provided adequate notice to the challenged voter of his or her opportunity to be heard, to confront adverse witnesses and to present evidence, in a manner as described in this directive, information contained in the board’s records may not be the sole justification for canceling a voter’s registration.

I therefore hereby direct that a board presented with a R.C. 3503.24 or R.C. 3505.19 challenge to a registered voter’s status as a qualified elector must follow these procedures:

Challenges Received Under R.C. 3503.24 (Form No. 257 or Form No. 259)

1. When a board receives a R.C. 3503.24 challenge of another elector’s right to vote, the board shall first determine whether the person submitting the challenge is an elector in the county and has fully completed either Form No. 257 or Form No. 259. If the person is not an elector of the county, the board shall immediately deny the challenge. If the person has not used either of the prescribed forms, the board shall immediately deny the challenge.

2. If the person submitting the challenge is an elector of the county and has submitted a completed, prescribed form, the board shall prepare a Notice of Hearing that notifies the challenged voter of all of the following:
   a. That the voter’s right to vote has been challenged, and the name of the person submitting the challenge, along with a copy of the form filed by the elector who has filed the challenge.
   b. The date, time and place of the hearing.
   c. That the voter has a right to appear and testify at the public hearing and present evidence demonstrating that the challenge should be denied.
   d. That the voter has a right to call and subpoena witnesses to appear at the hearing.
   e. That the voter has a right to be represented by counsel at the hearing and may cross-examine witnesses.
   f. That at the end of the hearing, cancellation of the voter’s registration requires a majority vote of the members of the board of elections.

R.C. 3503.24 provides that “a challenge of the right to vote of any registered elector may be made by any qualified elector of the county at the office of the board of elections not later than twenty days prior to the election. The applications or challenges, with the reasons for the application or challenge, shall be filed with the board on a form prescribed by the secretary of state and shall be signed under penalty of election falsification.”
g. That if the board members tie on the issue of cancellation, the matter shall be submitted to the Secretary of State for final resolution of whether the voter's registration shall be canceled.

3. The director shall send the Notice of Hearing to the challenged voter's address of record by first class forwardable U.S. mail. The notice shall be mailed no later than three days prior to the date scheduled for the hearing. R.C. 3503.24(B) provides that the notice may be sent "no later than three days before the day of any scheduled hearing." The Secretary of State strongly encourages boards of elections to send the notice at least eight to ten days prior to the scheduled hearing, as a court may determine that three days is not constitutionally sufficient notice.

4. The director shall provide the person who filed the challenge with written notice of the date and time of the hearing, and of the person's right to call and subpoena witnesses for the hearing.

5. The person challenging an elector's right to vote bears the burden of proving, by "clear and convincing evidence," a higher standard of proof than "preponderance of the evidence" used in typical civil court cases, that the challenged voter's registration is invalid. The return of a R.C. 3501.19 Election Day notice (the 60-day notice) as "undeliverable" by the post office is, by itself, insufficient to cancel a voter's registration under this standard.

6. After receiving all of the evidence at the hearing, the board shall immediately decide whether the challenge should be granted or denied. If the board grants the challenge by deciding that the name of any challenged person is not entitled to be on the official registration list, the person's name shall be removed and the person's registration forms canceled. If the board determines that the name of the challenged person should appear on the registration list, the challenged person's eligibility is confirmed and poll workers may not challenge the person's eligibility to vote on Election Day.

7. If the voter does not appear at the hearing, either in person or through the appearance of legal counsel, the board may consider its records to determine whether those records demonstrate, by clear and convincing evidence, that the challenged voter is not qualified to vote. Only then may the board sustain the challenge and cancel the challenged voter's registration by removing his or her name from the official registration list.

8. If the board members tie on the issue of cancellation, the matter shall be submitted to the Secretary of State for final resolution of the issue.

9. Consistent with R.C. 3503.01, the following constitute valid grounds for canceling a voter's registration:

   a. The challenged voter is not a citizen of the United States.
   b. The challenged voter is not of the age of 18 years or over as of the date of the election.
   c. The challenged voter is not a resident of the county in which the challenge was filed.
   d. The challenged voter did not register to vote at least 30 days prior to the day of the election.
   e. Certified proof of the death of the challenged voter exists.
   f. The challenged voter has been convicted of a felony and the conviction has not been reversed or annulled, and the felon remains incarcerated as a result of the felony conviction. (Note, a person who is convicted of a felony but is incarcerated for a
misdemeanor violation or on new charges not yet adjudicated, and not as a result of a felony sentence of incarceration, a felony probation or community control violation or a post-release control violation, is eligible to vote if other requirements have been met.) In addition, a person convicted of a felony whose registration was not canceled during his or her period of incarceration, and who has been released, is not subject to cancellation following his release from incarceration based on his felony conviction. (R.C. 3503.21(A)(3) and R.C. 2961.01.) That is, persons who have been convicted of felonies, incarcerated, but have been released, are not disqualified on the basis of their previous felony incarceration status if their registration was not previously canceled. Persons under post-release control supervision or under a non-jail felony community control (probation) sanction are not incarcerated and therefore, if registered, are eligible to vote.

g. The challenged voter has been adjudicated incompetent by a court for the purpose of voting as provided in R.C. 5122.301.

h. The challenged voter has failed to respond to a confirmation notice by the board and has failed to vote at least once during a period of four consecutive years, which period shall include two general federal elections.

i. The challenged voter has been mailed a confirmation notice sent by the board and has failed to update his or her registration and to vote at least once during a period of four consecutive years, which period shall include two general federal elections.

10. The hearing shall be held, and the challenge decided, no later than ten days after the board receives the challenge. Although R.C. 3503.24(D) allows boards of elections to postpone hearings for challenges received within 30 days of an election, the instructions in Secretary of State Directive 2007-06 remain in effect and the Secretary strongly advises against postponing any hearing until after the date of the election (which causes the challenged voter to vote provisionally instead of by regular ballot).

Challenges Received under R.C. 3505.19

1. When a board receives a challenge of an elector’s right to vote under R.C. 3505.19,² the board shall first determine whether the person submitting the challenge is an elector in the state of Ohio. If neither the challenger nor the person challenged is an elector, the board shall immediately deny the challenge. A challenge under R.C. 3505.19 may be made by a qualifying elector who appears in person at the office of the board of elections, or by letter addressed to the board, challenging the right of another registered elector to vote. Any such challenge must state the ground upon which the challenge is made, and must be signed by the challenger giving the challenger’s address and voting precinct.

² R.C. 3505.19 provides: “Any person registered as an elector may be challenged by any qualified elector as to the registered elector’s right to vote prior to the nineteenth day before the day of an election. Such qualified elector may, either by appearing in person at the office of the board of elections, or by letter addressed to the board, challenge the right of such registered elector to vote. Any such challenge must state the ground upon which the challenge is made, and must be signed by the challenger giving the challenger’s address and voting precinct. If the board is satisfied, in accordance with division (B) of section 3503.24 of the Revised Code, that the challenge is well-taken, the director shall so indicate on the registration cards and shall so notify in writing the judges of the precinct. If such challenged person offers to vote at such election, the challenged person shall be examined as in the case of an original challenge. If such person establishes, to the satisfaction of the judges, that the person’s disabilities have been removed and that the person has a right to vote, the person shall be permitted to vote.”
2. If the person submitting the challenge is an elector of the state of Ohio, the board shall prepare a Notice of Hearing that notifies the challenged voter of all of the following:
   a. That the voter’s right to vote has been challenged, and the name of the person submitting the challenge, along with a copy of the any letter or form filed by the elector who has filed the challenge.
   b. The date, time and place of the hearing.
   c. That the voter has a right to appear and testify at the public hearing and present evidence demonstrating that the challenge should be denied.
   d. That the voter has a right to call and subpoena witnesses to appear at the hearing.
   e. That the voter has a right to be represented by counsel at the hearing and may cross-examine witnesses.
   f. That at the end of the hearing, a decision that the challenge is “well-taken” requires a majority vote of the members of the board of elections.
   g. That, if the board members tie on the issue, the matter shall be submitted to the Secretary of State for final resolution of whether the challenge is “well-taken.”

3. The director shall send a Notice of Hearing to the challenged voter’s address of record by first class forwardable U.S. mail. The notice shall be mailed no later than three days prior to the date scheduled for the hearing. R.C. 3503.24(B), which is incorporated into R.C. 3505.19, provides that the notice may be sent “no later than three days before the day of any scheduled hearing.” The Secretary of State strongly encourages boards of elections to send the notice at least eight to ten days prior to the scheduled hearing, as a court may determine that three days is not constitutionally sufficient notice.

4. The director shall provide the person who filed the challenge with written notice of the date and time of the hearing, and of the person’s right to call and subpoena witnesses for the hearing.

5. The person challenging an elector’s right to vote bears the burden of proving, by “clear and convincing evidence,” a higher standard of proof than “preponderance of the evidence” used in typical civil court cases, that the challenged voter’s registration is invalid. The return of a R.C. 3501.19 Election Day notice (the 60-day notice) as “undeliverable” by the post office is, by itself, insufficient to cancel a voter’s registration under this standard.

5. After receiving all of the evidence at the hearing, the board shall immediately decide whether the challenge is well-taken. If the board members tie on the issue whether to grant or deny the challenge, the matter shall be submitted to the Secretary of State for final resolution.

6. If the board grants the challenge by determining that it is well-taken,3 the board shall NOT cancel the challenged voter’s registration by removing his or her name from the

---

3 The board’s decision should be based on one of the following determinations:
   a. The challenged voter is not a citizen of the United States.
   b. The challenged voter is not of the age of 18 years or over as of the date of the election.
   c. The challenged voter is not a resident of the county in which the challenge was filed.
   d. The challenged voter did not register to vote at least 30 days prior to the day of the election.
   e. Certified proof of the death of the challenged voter exists.
official registration list. Instead, the director shall notify the poll workers in the
called elector’s precinct of the board’s decision and mark the elector’s name in the
poll book, including the reason the board finds the challenge to be well-taken. If the
called elector offers to vote on Election Day, the poll workers shall challenge the
 elector pursuant to R.C. 3505.20, and the board’s decision that a challenge is well-taken
is final (R.C. 3505.20). In all cases, including when a challenge has been well-taken by a
board of elections, poll workers who are unable to determine that the person who offers
to vote on Election Day has a right to vote, the person shall be permitted to vote a
provisional ballot. In cases where the board has not determined a challenge under R.C.
3505.19 and poll workers are satisfied after examining the challenged elector under R.C.
3505.20 that the elector has a right to vote, the elector shall be permitted to vote a
regular ballot.

7. If the board denies the challenge by determining that the challenge is not well-taken, the
director shall instruct the poll workers that the challenged elector’s eligibility is confirmed
and the voter may not be challenged by the poll workers on Election Day.

8. The hearing shall be held, and the challenge decided, no later than ten days after the
board receives the challenge. Although R.C. 3503.24(D) allows boards of elections to
postpone hearings for challenges received within 30 days of an election, the instructions
in Secretary of State Directive 2007-06 remain in effect and the Secretary strongly
advises against postponing any hearing until after the election (which causes the
challenged voter to vote provisionally instead of a regular ballot).

Boards of elections are encouraged to contact their county prosecutor for additional advice
concerning notice and opportunity to be heard at a constitutionally required hearing.

f. The challenged voter has been convicted of a felony and the conviction has not been reversed
or annulled, and the felon remains incarcerated as a result of the felony conviction. (Note, a
person who is convicted of a felony but is incarcerated for a misdemeanor violation or on new
charges not yet adjudicated, and not as a result of a felony sentence of incarceration, a felony
probation or community control violation or a post-release control violation, is eligible to vote
if other requirements have been met.) In addition, a person convicted of a felony whose
registration was not canceled during his or her period of incarceration, and who has been
released, is not subject to cancellation following his release from incarceration based on his
felony conviction. (R.C. 3503.21(A)(3) and R.C. 2961.01.) That is, persons who have been
convicted of felonies, incarcerated, but have been released, are not disqualified on the basis of
their previous felony incarceration status if their registration was not previously canceled.
Persons under post-release control supervision or under a non-jail felony community control
(probation) sanction are not incarcerated and therefore, if registered, are eligible to vote.

g. The challenged voter has been adjudicated incompetent by a court for the purpose of voting
as provided in R.C. 5122.301.

h. The challenged voter has failed to respond to a confirmation notice by the board and has failed to vote
at least once during a period of four consecutive years, which period shall include two general federal
elections.

i. The challenged voter has been mailed a confirmation notice sent by the board and has failed to update
his or her registration and to vote at least once during a period of four consecutive years, which period
shall include two general federal elections.