

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO**

DONALD N. DAIEN,)	
)	Case No. CV-09-22-S-REB
Plaintiff,)	
)	CASE MANAGEMENT
v.)	ORDER
)	
BOISE CASCADE, LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

Pursuant to the scheduling conference held between the Court and counsel for the parties on April 7, 2009, in accord with the agreements reached in the parties' stipulated Litigation Plan (Docket No. 12), and in the interest of expedient resolution of this case,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Motions to **join parties and/or amend pleadings** shall be filed no later than **May 29, 2009**. Initial disclosures shall be complete by **May 29, 2009**.
2. **Discovery deadlines** are as follows:
 - a. **Factual discovery** and all discovery relevant to experts shall be completed *on or before* **August 7, 2009**. The number and length of depositions shall be governed by D. Idaho L. Civ. R. 30.1.

b. The **Plaintiff** shall make **expert witness disclosures**, and provide copies of expert reports, pursuant to Rule 26.2 of the Local Rules for the District of Idaho *on or before* **May 29, 2009**.

d. The **Defendant** shall make **expert witness disclosures**, and provide copies of expert reports, pursuant to Rule 26.2(B) of the Local Rules for the District of Idaho *on or before* **June 29, 2009**.

e. **Rebuttal expert witness disclosures** shall be provided *on or before* **July 13, 2009**.

3. Pursuant to Rule 16.4 of the Local Rules, this matter is referred to Chief Magistrate Judge Candy W. Dale for the purpose of conducting a settlement conference. Counsel shall be responsible for scheduling the settlement conference by contacting Amy Hickox, Judge Dale's courtroom deputy, at 334-9387. The conference shall be held by **August 14, 2009**.

4. All dispositive motions¹ shall be filed *on or before* **September 8, 2009**

IT IS SO ORDERED.



DATED: **April 14, 2009**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ronald E. Bush".

Honorable Ronald E. Bush
U. S. Magistrate Judge

¹ This Court's policy is to accept only one (1) dispositive motion per side. If it becomes necessary, due to the complexity or numerosity of issues presented by some cases, and counsel is unable to address all issues within the twenty-page (20) limit for briefs, Dist. Idaho Loc. Civ. R. 7.1(a)(2) & (b)(1), then counsel should file a motion seeking permission to file an over-length brief, rather than filing separate dispositive motions for each issue in an effort to avoid the twenty-page limit.

